

Volume

FINAL REPORT

AOAC Germicidal Spray Test Healthcare

Test Substance
Total-Shield Plus

<u>Lot Numbers</u> H21500823, H20300823, G22100723

Test Organism

Staphylococcus aureus, ATCC 6538
Pseudomonas aeruginosa, ATCC 15442
Salmonella enterica, ATCC 10708

<u>Test Guidelines</u> EPA (2018) Guidelines 810.2000 and 810.2200 (D3)

> Author Bailey Xie

Study Completion Date 09/28/20

Performing Laboratory
Microbac Laboratories, Inc.
105 Carpenter Drive
Sterling, VA 20164

<u>Laboratory Project Identification Number</u> 1056-101

> Protocol Identification Number MCG.1.07.26.20

> Sponsor
> McGowan Industries, Inc.
> 12285 World Trade Dr. STE O
> San Diego, CA 92128

Page 1 of 40

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

STATEMENT OF NO DATA CONFIDENTIALITY

No claim of confidentiality, on any basis whatsoever, is made for any information contained in this document. I acknowledge that information not designated as within the scope of FIFRA sec.10(d)(1)(A), (B) or (C) and which pertains to a registered or previously registered pesticide is not entitled to confidential treatment and may be released to the public, subject to the provisions regarding disclosure to multinational entities under FIFRA 10(g).

Submitter signature:	Homes in on Some	Date (0-2-22
Printed Name of Signe		
Printed Name of Comp	any:	

GOOD LABORATORY PRACTICE COMPLIANCE STATEMENT

The following is a detailed description of all differences between the practices used in the study and those required by 40 CFR part § 160:

 Information on the identity, strength, purity, stability, uniformity, and dose solution analysis of the test substance resides with the sponsor of the study.

Study Director Signature: Typed Name: Typed Name of Laboratory:	Bailey Xie Microbac Laboratories, Inc.	_ Date: <u>09 28 20</u> _
Sponsor Signature: Printed Name:		Date:
Printed Name of Company: Submitter Signature:	Lienas M Mc Sanon	_ _ Date: <u>/</u>
Printed Name: Printed Name of Company:		_

QUALITY ASSURANCE UNIT STATEMENT

The Quality Assurance Unit of Microbac has inspected Project Number 1056-101 to be in compliance with current Good Laboratory Practice regulations, (40 CFR § 160).

The dates that inspections were made and the dates that findings were reported to management and to the study director are listed below.

Phase Inspected	Date of Inspection	Date Reported to Study Director	Date Reported to Management
Protocol	09/02/20 09/08/20	09/08/20	09/08/20
In Process (Test)	09/08/20	09/08/20	09/08/20
Draft Final Report	09/24/20	09/24/20	09/24/20
Final Report	09/28/20	09/28/20	09/28/20

Jeanne M. Anderegg RQAP-GLP

Quality Assurance Manager

09-28-2020

Date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Final Report – Cover Page	,
Statement of No Data Confidentiality	
Good Laboratory Practice Compliance Statement	
Quality Assurance Unit Statement	
Table of Contents	
Test Substance Characterization	
Test Summary	
Test Procedures	
Study Dates and Facilities	11
Protocol Changes	12
Records to be Maintained	12
Test Acceptance Criteria	12
Calculations	13
Results	14-18
Product Evaluation Criteria	19
Conclusions	19
References	20
Appendix I	21
Signed Protocol	22-34
Project Sheet(s)	35-36
Appendix II	37
Certificate(s) of Analysis	38-40

TEST SUBSTANCE CHARACTERIZATION

Test Substance characterization as to the identity, strength, purity, solubility and composition, as applicable, according to 40 CFR, Part 160, Subpart F [160.105] was documented prior to its use in the study. The Characterization of Test Substance Summary of Results, provided by the sponsor, is found in Appendix II.

TEST SUMMARY

Study Title:

AOAC Germicidal Spray Test Healthcare

Project No.:

1056-101

Protocol No.:

MCG.1.07.26.20

Test Method:

AOAC Official Method 961.02

Sponsor:

McGowan Industries, Inc.

12285 World Trade Dr. STE O

San Diego, CA 92128

Testing Facility:

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

105 Carpenter Drive Sterling, VA 20164

Study Objective:

This test is designed to prove germicidal effectiveness label claims for products registered with the Environmental Protection Agency and Canada (if applicable) as spray germicides. It evaluates the effectiveness of spray and pressurized spray products as spot

disinfectants for contaminated surfaces.

Study Dates:

Study Initiation: 09/08/20

Experimental Start: 09/08/20 Experimental End: 09/11/20 Study Completion: See page 1

TEST SUMMARY (continued)

Test Substance:

Total-Shield Plus

Lot No.: H21500823, received at Microbac on 08/27/20 and

assigned DS No. K1186

Lot No.: H20300823, received at Microbac on 08/27/20 and

assigned DS No. K1187

Lot No.: G22100723, received at Microbac on 08/27/20 and

assigned DS No. K1188

· Physical Description: Liquid

Storage Condition: Dark, Ambient Room Temperature

Active Ingredient: Phenol, Ethanol, and 2-Phenylphenol

Dilution: Ready to use

· Diluent: Not applicable

Test Conditions:

Organic Soil Load:

Not Applicable

Contact Time:

5 minutes

Contact Temperature:

20±1°C (actual: 21°C)

Contact Relative Humidity: 57-58% RH

Challenge Organism:

Staphylococcus aureus, ATCC 6538

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, ATCC 15442

Salmonella enterica, ATCC 10708

Incubation Time:

48±2 hours

Incubation Temperature:

36±1°C

Neutralizer:

Letheen Broth containing 7% Polysorbate 80 and 1% Lecithin

Media and Reagents:

Synthetic Broth (SB)

Nutrient Broth (NB)

Letheen Broth containing 7% Polysorbate 80 and 1% Lecithin

Phosphate Buffered Dilution Water (PBDW)

Letheen Broth (LB)
Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA)
Mannitol Salt Agar (MSA)
MacConkey Agar (MCA)

Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate Agar (XLDA)

Gram Stain Reagents

TEST SUMMARY (continued)

Carriers:

Glass microscope slides (1" x 3" with a 1" x 1" area for

inoculation)

Study Design:

This study was performed according to the signed protocol

and project sheet(s) issued by the Study Director (see

Appendix I).

Study Personnel:

Kathryn D. Dormstetter Manager of Applied Microbiology

Muhamad H. Bashir

Laboratory Manager Microbiology

Mary Manley

Associate Scientist I

Helen Mai Kadesha Jordan Associate Scientist I

Alexis Jackson

Associate Scientist II

Bailey Xie

Associate Scientist II
Associate Scientist II/Study Director

Hilary Kurland

Scientist I

TEST PROCEDURES

Inoculum preparation:

A single frozen cryovial of stock culture was defrosted at room temperature and then briefly vortex mixed. A 10 μ L aliquot of the thawed stock was added to a tube containing 10 mL of SB for SA and SE (NB for PA); the tubes were vortex mixed and incubated at 36±1°C for 24±2 hours. Daily transfers were made in for at least one but no more than five consecutive days.

For the final subculture transfer, tubes containing 10 mL of SB (NB for PA) were inoculated with 10 μ L of culture per tube and incubated at 36±1°C. After 48-54 hours, cultures were used for contaminating the carriers.

The pellicle formed in the PA culture was removed prior to carrier contamination by gently aspirating the pellicle away from the broth using vacuum removal. Care was taken to avoid harvesting the pellicle from the bottom of the tube. The culture was visibly inspected for pellicle fragments. If pellicle fragments were present, the culture was not used for testing.

The cultures were agitated on a Vortex-type mixer for 3-4 seconds and then allowed to sit for 10 minutes. The upper portion of each culture was debris or clumps and transferred to a sterile tube.

Carrier preparation:

New carriers were visually screened and discarded if visibly damaged (scratched, chipped or nicked). The carriers were rinsed with 95% ethanol followed by a rinse with deionized water to remove oil and film on the slides. The carriers were sterilized by placing in evaporating dishes matted with two pieces of filter paper, heating them in a hot air oven for two hours at 180°C, cooling and storing them at room temperature until use.

Using a positive displacement pipet, a 0.01 mL (10 μ L) aliquot of each culture was transferred onto a one-square inch area on the sterile carriers (in Petri dishes) and immediately spread uniformly over the entire area with a sterile glass rod. Each dish was promptly covered and the operation was repeated for the rest of the carriers. Carriers were dried for 30 minutes at 36°C and 31-32% Relative Humidity.



TEST PROCEDURES (continued)

Test Substance:

The test substance was received ready to use from the sponsor. The test substance was allowed to equilibrate to room temperature for at least 10 minutes before testing. Prior to testing, the bottles were sprayed and the volume excreted was measured to ensure that all carriers were thoroughly wet.

Test:

Sixty carriers per lot were sprayed in a horizontal position until thoroughly wet from a distance of 6-8". Each carrier was held in a horizontal position for the contact time. After the contact time, the excess liquid was allowed to drain from the carrier, the carrier was transferred to a tube containing 20 mL of neutralizer and shaken thoroughly. All subculture tubes were incubated for 48±2 hours at 36±1°C.

Sterility controls:

One sterile carrier was added to a tube of 20 mL of neutralizer and incubated with the test in order to demonstrate the sterility of the media used in the study.

Carrier counts control:

For each challenge microorganism, per lot, triplicate replicates were processed before and immediately after processing the test.

Dried inoculated carriers were placed individually into tubes containing 20 mL of LB. The tubes were immediately vortex mixed for 120±5 seconds for SA and SE (60±5 seconds for PA). After vortex mixing, serial ten-fold dilutions of each suspension were performed in 9 mL PBDW blanks. Duplicate one-mL aliquots from selected dilutions (10⁻², 10⁻³, 10⁻⁴) were plated in TSA pour plates. Diluting and plating were completed within 2 hours after vortex mixing. All tubes and plates were incubated with the test and the average CFU/carrier was determined.



TEST PROCEDURES (continued)

Neutralizer effectiveness control:

For each challenge microorganism, per lot of test substance, six sterile carriers were exposed to the test substance for the contact time evaluated, and then transferred into individual tubes of 20 mL of neutralizer. To each tube, fewer than 100 colony forming units (CFU) of the challenge microorganism were added and the count of the bacteria inoculated into these tubes was confirmed in duplicate TSA pour plates. The tubes and plates were incubated with the test.

Viability control:

For each challenge microorganism, two inoculated carriers were independently transferred into tubes of 20 mL of neutralizer and incubated with the test to serve as a comparison of the test cultures.

Challenge microorganism confirmation:

All viability controls and at least 20% of the test tubes showing growth were streaked on TSA and the corresponding specialty media (if three or less test tubes were positive within a group of 60 replicates, all positive test tubes were streaked). All plates were incubated for 24±2 hours at 36±1°C. Plates were examined for colony morphology characteristic of the test organism. Gram stains were performed from these streaks to confirm growth of the challenge microorganism.

STUDY DATES AND FACILITIES

The laboratory phase of this test was performed at Microbac Laboratories, Inc., 105 Carpenter Drive, Sterling, VA 20164, from 09/08/20 to 09/11/20. The study director signed the protocol on 09/08/20. The study completion date is the date the study director signed the final report. The individual test dates are as follows:

Testing started at 10:03 am on 09/08/20 and ended at 4:50 pm on 09/11/20.

All changes or revisions of the protocol were documented, signed by the study director, dated and maintained with the protocol.



PROTOCOL CHANGES

Protocol Amendments:

 On Page 7 of the protocol, the Confirmation of challenge microorganism section should include the sentence: "Plates were examined for colony morphology characteristic of the test organism".

Protocol Deviations:

No protocol deviations occurred during this study.

RECORDS TO BE MAINTAINED

All testing data, protocol, protocol modifications, test substance records, the final report, and correspondence between Microbac and the sponsor will be stored in the archives at Microbac Laboratories, Inc., 105 Carpenter Drive, Sterling, VA 20164, or at a controlled facility off site.

TEST ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

The test was considered acceptable for evaluation of the test results if the criteria listed below are satisfied.

- The recovery broth with neutralizers was proven effective
- · The sterility control was negative for growth
- · The viability control was positive for growth
- The purity of the test culture was confirmed based on the procedures employed for confirmation
- The geometric mean of the Log₁₀ density (LD) for the Carrier Counts was between 1.0 x 10⁵ and 3.2 x 10⁶ CFU/carrier for Staphylococcus aureus and Pseudomonas aeruginosa. For Salmonella enterica, the range was between 1.0 x 10⁴ and 3.2 x 10⁵ CFU/carrier. Average results that do not meet this criterion will be interpreted based on the following:
 - Testing must be repeated if the counts are below the range and the performance standard is achieved; or, the counts are above the range and the performance standard is not achieved.
 - Testing does not need to be repeated if the counts are below the range and the performance standard is not achieved; or, the counts are above the range and the performance standard is achieved



CALCULATIONS

The log₁₀ density (LD) for each carrier was determined based on the following:

- · Dilutions yielding counts up to 300 CFU were used
- · Plate counts of 0 were included in the calculations
- The CFU/mL was calculated as:

CFU/mL =
$$\frac{(avg. CFU \text{ for } 10^{-x}) + (avg. CFU \text{ for } 10^{-y}) + (avg. CFU \text{ for } 10^{-z})}{(10^{-x} + 10^{-y} + 10^{-z})}$$

where:

10-x, 10-y and 10-z are the dilutions plated

CFU = Colony Forming Units

- CFU/carrier = CFU/mL x volume of neutralizer (20)
- The Average Log₁₀ Carrier Count Control =

$$Log_{10}X_1 + Log_{10}X_2 + Log_{10}X_3$$

N

where:

X equals CFU/carrier set

N equals number of control carrier sets

RESULTS

Results are presented in Tables 1-7. The challenge microorganism was confirmed by Gram Stain and colony morphology using the Viability Control streaks to be consistent with *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Salmonella enterica*. The Sterility Controls exhibited no growth. The Neutralizer Effectiveness Control exhibited growth.

Table 1
Test Results
Results Expressed as Number of Tubes Exhibiting Growth / Total Number of Tubes

Microorganism	Lot No.	Results
	H21500823	1/60
Staphylococcus aureus	H20300823	0/60
	G22100723	0/60
	H21500823	1/60
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	H20300823	0/60
	G22100723	0/60
	H21500823	0/60
Salmonella enterica	H20300823	1/60
	G22100723	0/60

Table 2 Neutralizer Effectiveness

Results Expressed as Growth (+) or No Growth (0) and Colony-Forming Units (CFU)/mL

	ssed as Glowth (+) or	Tube Result						
Microorganism	Lot No.	Rep.	Rep.	Rep.	Rep.	Rep.	Rep.	Average CFU/Tube
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
	H21500823	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Staphylococcus aureus	H20300823	+	+	+	+	+	+	93
	G22100723	+	+	+	+	+	+	
17	H21500823	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	H20300823	+	+	+	+	+	+	50
us/ug///ocu	G22100723	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Salmonella enterica	H21500823	+	+	+	+	+	+	
	H20300823	+	+	+	+	+	+	80
	G22100723	+	+	+	+	+	+	

Table 3 Viability Control

Results Expressed as Growth (+) or No Growth (0)

Microorganism	Replicate	Result
Otembrida	1	+
Staphylococcus aureus	2	+
Decodement	1	+
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	2	+
O. Lawren Warren Lawring	1	+
Salmonella enterica	2	+

Table 4 Sterility Controls

Results Expressed as Growth (+) or No Growth (0)

Source	Replicate	Result
leutralizer + Carrier	1	0

Table 5 Carrier Counts Staphylococcus aureus

Results Expressed as Average Colony Forming Units (CFU) / Carrier and Mean Log₁₀ Density

Lot No.	Phase	Rep.	CFU/ Carrier	Mean Test CFU/Carrier	Log Density (Log ₁₀ CFU/Carrier)	Mean Test Log ₁₀ Density
	_	1	6.60 x 10 ⁵		5.82	
	Pre- Test	2	8.90 x 10 ⁵		5.95	
H21500823		3	4.46 x 10 ⁵	0.4 v. 105	5.65	5.0
1121300023		1	9.30 x 10 ⁵	8.1 x 10 ⁵	5.97	5.9
	Post- Test	2	9.00 x 10 ⁵		5.95	
		3	1.03 x 10 ⁶		6.01	
		1	2.69 x 10 ⁵		5.43	5.6
	Pre- Test	2	1.30 x 10 ⁵	4.7 x 10 ⁵	5.11	
H20300823		3	5.05 x 10 ⁵		5.70	
1120300023	422000	1	8.10 x 10 ⁵		5.91	
	Post- Test	2	6.10 x 10 ⁵		5.79	
		3	4.66 x 10 ⁵		5.67	
	_	1	3.89 x 10 ⁵		5.59	
G22100723	Pre- Test	2	6.90 x 10 ⁵		5.84	
	1001	3	6.30 x 10 ⁵	5.7 x 10 ⁵	5.80	5.7
		1	3.80 x 10 ⁵] 3.7 X 10°	5.58	5.7
	Post- Test	2	7.30 x 10 ⁵		5.86	
		3	6.10 x 10 ⁵		5.79	



Table 6 Carrier Counts Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Results Expressed as Average Colony Forming Units (CFU) / Carrier and Mean Log10 Density

Lot No.	Phase	Rep.	CFU/ Carrier	Mean Test CFU/Carrier	Log Density (Log ₁₀ CFU/Carrier)	Mean Test Log ₁₀ Density
	_	1	1.86 x 10 ⁵		5.27	
	Pre- Test	2	2.19 x 10 ⁵		5.34	5.3
H21500823		3	1.99 x 10 ⁵	1.0 × 105	5.30	
1121300023	_	1	1.86 x 10 ⁵	1.9 x 10 ⁵	5.27	
	Post- Test	2	2.85 x 10 ⁵		5.46	
		3	9.18 x 10 ⁴		4.96	
	Pre- Test	1	2.04 x 10 ⁵		5.31	5.4
		2	1.87 x 10 ⁵	2.5 x 10 ⁵	5.27	
H20300823	1000	3	2.28 x 10 ⁵		5.36	
HZU3UU0Z3		1	2.24 x 10 ⁵		5.35	
	Post- Test	2	2.73 x 10 ⁵		5.44	
	1000	3	3.68 x 10 ⁵		5.57	
		1	1.95 x 10 ⁵		5.29	
	Pre- Test	2	2.97 x 10 ⁵	5.47	5.47	5.0
C22400722	. 550	3	2.33 x 10 ⁵		5.37	
G22100723		1	2.83 x 10 ⁵	2.3 x 10 ⁵	5.45	5.3
	Post- Test	2	1.11 x 10 ⁵		5.04	
	1000	3	2.55 x 10 ⁵		5.41	

Table 7 Carrier Counts Salmonella enterica

Results Expressed as Average Colony Forming Units (CFU) / Carrier and Mean Log₁₀ Density

Lot No.	Phase	Rep.	CFU/ Carrier	Mean Test CFU/Carrier	Log Density (Log ₁₀ CFU/Carrier)	Mean Test Log ₁₀ Density
		1	1.80 x 10 ⁵		5.26	
	Pre- Test	2	1.37 x 10 ⁵		5.14	
H21500823		3	7.27 x 10 ⁴	4.4.405	4.86	5.0
1121300023		1	5.07 x 10 ⁴	1.1 x 10 ⁵	4.71	5.0
	Post- Test	2	1.64 x 10 ⁵		5.21	
	1001	3	4.21 x 10 ⁴		4.62	
		1	1.80 x 10 ⁵		5.26	4.8
	Pre- Test	2	3.94 x 10 ⁴	8.2 x 10 ⁴	4.60	
1120200822	1001	3	4.78 x 10 ⁴		4.68	
H20300823		1	3.57 x 10 ⁴		4.55	
	Post- Test	2	4.11 x 10 ⁴		4.61	
	1001	3	1.48 x 10 ⁵		5.17	
		1	3.44 x 10 ⁵		5.54	
	Pre- Test	2	1.29 x 10 ⁵	4.0 4.05	5.11	5.0
000400700		3	1.15 x 10 ⁵		5.06	
G22100723		1	2.97 x 10 ⁴	1.3 x 10 ⁵	4.47	5.0
	Post- Test	2	3.84 x 10 ⁴		4.58	
	1000	3	1.36 x 10 ⁵		5.13	

PRODUCT EVALUATION CRITERIA

According to the US Environmental Protection Agency, the test substance passes the test if visible growth was observed in \leq 1 replicate out of 60 for each lot and all controls must meet the test acceptance criteria.

CONCLUSIONS

When tested as described, Total-Shield Plus, Lot Nos. H21500823, H20300823, and G22100723, passed the AOAC Germicidal Spray Test Healthcare when *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Salmonella enterica* were exposed to the test substances for 5 minutes at 21°C and 57-58% RH.

All of the controls met the criteria established for a valid test. These conclusions are based on observed data.

REFERENCES

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Product Performance Test Guidelines, OCSPP 810.2000: General Considerations for Testing Public Health Antimicrobial Pesticides, Guidance for Efficacy Testing, February 2018.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Product Performance Test Guidelines, (FAQ) for OCSPP 810.2200: Disinfectants for Use Guidance for Efficacy Testing, 2019.
- Official Methods of Analysis of the AOAC International, Chapter 6, Disinfectants, Official Method 961.02, Germicidal Spray Products as Disinfectants, Current edition. AOAC International, Suite 500, 481 North Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, MD 20877-2417
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention, Product Performance Test Guidelines, OCSPP 810.2200: Disinfectants for Use on Environmental Surfaces - Guidance for Efficacy Testing, 2019.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Pesticide Programs, Microbiology Laboratory, Environmental Science Center, Ft. Meade, MD, Standard Operating Procedure for Germicidal Spray Products as Disinfectants (GSPT): Testing of Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Salmonella enterica. SOP Number: MB-06-09. Date Revised: 09-29-17.